

Exhibit 13 – Agenda 1999 meeting
Topics Raised by Many
Citizens to Protect Our Watershed, September 1, 1999, 2 PM
Nashua Public Library, 2 Court Street, East Room

1. Purpose of the Meeting – Protect our watershed for future generations
 - Why has meeting been expanded from a meeting of concerned citizens to an open meeting including people many think are the cause of the problem?
2. Goals of the Meeting – Set a series of action plans for the future
3. Where is the master plan for the watershed?
 - Past, present, future development, highways, watershed capacity, water demand, and more.
4. What is the status of the watershed?
5. What are the threats to the watershed?
6. What are your concerns? Open Discussion
7. What were taxes on the land before development, why, and current taxes?
 - Are tax penalties associated with converting recurrent land to development being collected? If not, why?
 - What is the history of the acquisition of the land in the water company?
 - Does the water company have the right to develop gifted land or land purchased with ratepayers monies for protection?
 - Should the ratepayers reap the profits.

Developments, Proposals and Issues that have triggered concern:

- Golf Course in the watershed buffer zone
- Plastics factory behind Delta Education
- Spreading sludge next to Supply Pond
- Harris Preserve Development, Tinker Road Developments, Heron Cove Developments, more
- Exit 9 on the Everett Turnpike being within 200 feet of the ponds
- All the roads that cross the watershed
- Development of Amherst Street as well as development to its north and south side
- Home Depot
- Reduction in watershed size and regeneration capacity
- Increased reliance on the Merrimack River as a source of drinking water by a number of communities in the future
- Multiple sources of potential pollution yields an increased probability of a major incident
- Potential and/or perceived conflicts of interest
- Perceived lack of coverage in the local print media (“The Telegraph”) to cover the issue properly
- The perceived lack of courage in city and town boards and commissions, and local, state, and federal environmental organizations to take a stand on what many see as an immoral development of the watershed for apparent financial gain or ignorance.
- Others? - What are your concerns?